



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,899	11/30/2001	Howard Taub	10982142-1	3258

7590 10/04/2006  
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

VAN BRAMER, JOHN W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3622

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/996,899	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAUB ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John Van Bramer	<b>Art Unit</b> 3622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 11-19, 22-42 and 44-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 11-19, 22-42 and 44-52 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Response to Amendment***

1. The amendment filed on July 20, 2006 cancelled no claims. Claims 1, 3, 4, 13-19, 22-30, 40, 49, and 50 were amended and Claims 51 and 52 were added. Thus, the currently pending claims addressed in this action are 1-9, 11-19, 22-42, and 44-52.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

2. The amendment filed on July 20, 2006 failed to correct the 35 U.S.C. 101 deficiencies identified in the Office Action dated April 20, 2006. Therefore, the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of Claims 13-19 is maintained. The term "module" in the specification is specifically directed to a software program module (Specification: Page 8, (Detailed Description: lines 1-17)). Therefore, the modification of the preamble from an "email program" to a "system" has not corrected the previously identified deficiency. A program per se, not clearly claimed as on a computer readable medium, is recited.

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 22-29, 49, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The amendment to the

Art Unit: 3622

preamble has identified the claim to be directed towards an apparatus containing a program. A computer program on a computer readable media is considered data on a disk which is non-functional descriptive material (See MPEP 2106). In order to meet the 35 U.S.C. 101 requirements the claimed invention must produce a "useful, concrete, and tangible result", and the invention as currently claimed is not capable of meeting these requirements. The apparatus claimed must contain a computer processor that executes the claimed modules in order to produce a tangible result.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

5. The amendment filed on July 20, 2006 failed to correct the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, deficiencies identified in the Office Action dated April 20, 2006. Therefore the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection is maintained. The only change made by the applicant was a modification of the preamble from a "printer" to a "system". Therefore, the applicant has failed to define any structure relating to the claimed "system" which would allow it to communicate over a network.

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 13-19, 51, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission

amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: The applicant has amended the preface to clarify that the claim is directed towards an apparatus containing a program. However, the applicant has failed to define any structure relating to the claimed "system" which would allow it to communicate over a network as required by the operational steps set forth in the claimed modules.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 1-9, 11, 12, and 30-39 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldhaber et al (5,794,210) in view of Dedrick (5,768,521).

Claim 1: Goldhaber discloses an apparatus for presenting content packages to a user, comprising:

- a. A processor (Col 9, lines 33-40).
- b. A memory with a content package stored thereon (Col 10, lines 39-63 and Col 15, lines 26-28); and
- c. The content package including a message, a bank id, and a display value (Col 10, lines 39-63).

The Examiner notes Claim 1 is directed towards a server with three parts: a processor, a memory, and a content package (i.e. data) stored on the memory. Since no action is being taken on the stored data, no patentable weight is given as to what the data is or what type of data it is. However, notwithstanding that, Goldhaber does disclose a server with the same type of data stored thereon. Furthermore, Dedrick also discloses an apparatus for presenting content packages to a user that includes a processor and a memory with a content package stored thereon that includes a plurality of values arranged in a pricing hierarchy for advertising information for each advertising message based on how well the user's profile matches the target user's profile (Col 5, lines 47-50). Since Dedrick is presenting the content package to a user, the package has been displayed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plurality of values with the content package stored in Goldhaber. One would have been motivated to have a plurality of values associated with the same content package in order to charge the advertiser based on the user's "worth" to the advertiser as in Dedrick.

Claim 2: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the apparatus of Claim 1 above, but Goldhaber does not explicitly disclose that the message has a message identifier (e.g. name, number, code, etc.). However, Dedrick discloses identifying the message in the content package with a unique identifier (Col 3, lines 39-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to also uniquely identify the message in Goldhaber.

One would have been motivated to include a message identifier in order to allow Goldhaber to correlate the message with the correct content provider when determining which content provider account to debit.

Claims 3 and 4: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the apparatus of Claim 1 above, and Goldhaber further discloses paying the display value to the user upon the message (advertisement) being displayed to or interacted upon by the user.

However, it is not explicitly disclosed that the user could receive additional payments for both displaying and interacting with the message, such as printing or playing the message. The Examiner notes that the disclosed interacting with the message by the user would encompass all types of interactions to include viewing, clicking on, printing, playing, listening to, or downloading and storing the message. These are all well known types of interactions between a user and information being displayed on the user's computer. It is also well known for an advertiser to pay a first amount when a user is initially displayed an advertisement, and then pay a second amount if the user interacts with the advertisement in one of the above mentioned ways. For example, two well known methods for charging advertisers on the Internet are the pay-per-view and the pay-per-click-through methods. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transfer a first value to the user in Goldhaber upon initially displaying the advertisement and then a second value when the user interacts therewith, such as

printing or playing the advertisement. One would have been motivated to pay an additional value to the user in view of Goldhaber's goals of enticing the user to accept advertisements and of tracking the user's interactions therewith.

Claim 5: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the apparatus of Claim 1 above, and Goldhaber further discloses digitally signing the content package (Col 10, lines 9-38 and Col 16, lines 50-64).

Claim 6: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the apparatus of Claim 1 above, and Goldhaber further discloses instructions for receiving one of the values (Col 11, lines 45-58).

Claims 7-9: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the apparatus of Claim 1 above, and Goldhaber further discloses that at least one of the values is monetary, a credit on a purchase, or a credit slip (i.e. coupon)(Col 11, lines 8-44 and Col 18, lines 13-33).

Claims 11 and 12: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the apparatus of Claim 1 above, and Goldhaber further discloses receiving notice of receipt or recall (deletion) of the package (Col 5, line 54 – Col 6, line 2 and Col 17, lines 49-52).

Claim 30: Goldhaber discloses a method for presenting content packages to a user, comprising:



Art Unit: 3622

- a. Constructing and delivering a content package consisting of a message and a rendering value (Col 9, lines 62-67);
- b. Receiving notification of receipt of the content package (Col 5, line 54 – Col 6, line 2); and
- c. Crediting the value to the receiver (user)(Col 16, lines 13-17).

While Goldhaber does not explicitly disclose that the rendering value is one of a plurality of rendering values associated with the content package, Dedrick also discloses a method for presenting (displaying) content packages to a user that includes constructing and storing a content package stored thereon that includes a plurality of values arranged in a pricing hierarchy for advertising information for each advertising message based on how well the user's profile matches the target user's profile (Col 5, lines 47-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plurality of values with the content package stored in Goldhaber. One would have been motivated to have a plurality of values associated with the same content package in order to charge the advertiser based on the user's "worth" to the advertiser as in Dedrick.

Claim 31: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the method and apparatus of Claim 30 above, but Goldhaber does not explicitly disclose that the message has a message identifier (e.g. name, number, code, etc.). However, Dedrick discloses identifying the message in the content package with a unique identifier (Col 3, lines 39-45).

Art Unit: 3622

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to also uniquely identify the message in Goldhaber. One would have been motivated to include a message identifier in order to allow Goldhaber to correlate the message with the correct content provider when determining which content provider account to debit.

Claims 32 and 33: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the method program as in Claim 30 above, and Goldhaber further discloses providing the bank id (and account number) of the receiver (user)(Col 16, line 13-17).

Claim 34: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the method as in Claim 30 above, and Goldhaber further discloses the message is displayed to or interacted upon by the user. However, it is not explicitly disclosed that the user interaction includes printing or playing the message. The Examiner notes that the disclosed interacting with the message by the user would encompass all types of interactions to include viewing, clicking on, printing, playing, listening to, or downloading and storing the message. These are all well known types of input/output interactions between a user and information being displayed on the user's computer (e.g. Dedrick, Col 1, lines 30-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the user to print or play the message. One would have been motivated to include printing or playing the message as part of Goldhaber's interactions in order to provide a more memorable experience for the

Art Unit: 3622

user; thus, increasing the likelihood that the user will remember the message (advertisement) and, in case of message being in the form of a coupon as Goldhaber discloses, providing a hard copy of the coupon for the customary in-store redemption.

Claim 35: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the method as in Claim 30 above, and Goldhaber further discloses the message is an advertisement (Col 9, lines 62-67 and Col 15, lines 25-27).

Claim 36: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the method as in Claim 30 above, and Goldhaber further discloses verifying the bank account id and the funds therein (Col 7, lines 48-61).

Claim 37: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the method as in Claim 30 above, and Goldhaber further discloses instructions for receiving the value (Col 11, lines 45-58).

Claim 38: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the method as in Claim 30 above, and Goldhaber further discloses paying the display value to the user upon the message (advertisement) being displayed to or interacted upon by the user. However, it is not explicitly disclosed that the user could receive additional payments for both displaying and interacting with the message, such as printing or playing the message. The Examiner notes that the disclosed interacting with the message by

the user would encompass all types of interactions to include viewing, clicking on, printing, playing, listening to, or downloading and storing the message. These are all well known types of interactions between a user and information being displayed on the user's computer (e.g. Dedrick, Col 1, lines 30-32). It is also well known for an advertiser to pay a first amount when a user is initially displayed an advertisement, and then pay a second amount if the user interacts with the advertisement in one of the above mentioned ways. For example, two well known methods for charging advertisers on the Internet are the pay-per-view and the pay-per-click-through methods. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transfer a first value to the user in Goldhaber upon initially displaying the advertisement and then a second value when the user interacts therewith, such as printing or playing the advertisement. One would have been motivated to pay an additional value to the user in view of Goldhaber's goals of enticing the user to accept advertisements and of tracking the user's interactions therewith.

Claim 39: Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose the method of Claim 30 above, and Goldhaber further discloses digitally signing the content package (Col 10, lines 9-38 and Col 16, lines 50-64).

Claim 50: Goldhaber discloses a method for presenting content packages to a user, comprising:

Art Unit: 3622

- a. Constructing and delivering a content package consisting of a message and a rendering value (Col 9, lines 62-67)
- b. Verifying the bank account id and the funds therein (Col 7, lines 48-61)
- c. Receiving notification of receipt of the content package (Col 5, line 54 – Col 6, line 2); and
- d. Crediting the value to the receiver (user)(Col 16, lines 13-17).

While Goldhaber does not explicitly disclose that the rendering value is one of a plurality of rendering values associated with the content package, Dedrick also discloses a method for presenting content packages to a user that includes constructing and storing a content package stored thereon that includes a plurality of values arranged in a pricing hierarchy for advertising information for each advertising message based on how well the user's profile matches the target user's profile (Col 5, lines 47-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plurality of values with the content package stored in Goldhaber. One would have been motivated to have a plurality of values associated with the same content package in order to charge the advertiser based on the user's "worth" to the advertiser as in Dedrick.

10. Claims 13-29 and 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldhaber et al (5,794,210).

Art Unit: 3622

Claims 13, 22, 24, 40, 43, 45, 49, 51, and 52: Goldhaber discloses a method, apparatus, system, and computer program for presenting content packages to a user, comprising:

- a. Providing a computer processor (Col 9, lines 33-40);
- b. Storing a content package in memory (Col 10, lines 39-63 and Col 15, lines 26-28);
- c. The content package including a message, bank id, and display value (Col 10, lines 39-63);
- d. Instructions for receiving the value (Col 11, lines 45-58);
- e. Verifying the bank account id and the funds therein (Col 7, lines 48-61);
- f. Receiving notice of receipt of the package (Col 5, line 54 – Col 6, line 2);  
and
- g. Crediting the value to the receiver (user)(Col 16, lines 13-17)

While Goldhaber does not explicitly disclose that the user will preset a desired value level for the display value and that the computer program will only display messages with values which meet or exceed that level, it is disclosed that the user presets a number of criteria for the selection of which messages will be selected and displayed when the user is registering with the system. It is also inherent that only a limited number of messages from which to select may be displayed on the user's screen at one time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the user to also set a minimum limit on the value of the message the user is willing to

accept. One would have been motivated to have the user in Goldhaber set such a minimum value level in order to increase the worth of Goldhaber's invention to the user by eliminating low value messages, thus presenting only the highest valued messages on the user's screen to increase the user's satisfaction with the system.

Claims 14, 18, and 42: Goldhaber discloses the method, system and computer program of Claims 13 and 40 above, and further discloses paying the display value to the user upon the message (advertisement) being displayed to or interacted upon by the user. However, it is not explicitly disclosed that the user could receive additional payments for both displaying and interacting with the message, such as printing or playing the message. The Examiner notes that the disclosed interacting with the message by the user would encompass all types of interactions to include viewing, clicking on, printing, playing, listening to, or downloading and storing the message. These are all well known types of interactions between a user and information being displayed on the user's computer. It is also well known for an advertiser to pay a first amount when a user is initially displayed an advertisement, and then pay a second amount if the user interacts with the advertisement in one of the above mentioned ways. For example, two well known methods for charging advertisers on the Internet are the pay-per-view and the pay-per-click-through methods. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transfer a first value to the user in Goldhaber upon initially displaying the advertisement and then a second value when

the user interacts therewith, such as printing or playing the advertisement. One would have been motivated to pay an additional value to the user in view of Goldhaber's goals of enticing the user to accept advertisements and of tracking the user's interactions therewith.

Claims 15, 25, 26, 47, and 48: Goldhaber discloses the apparatus, method, system, and computer program as in Claims 13, 22, and 40 above, and further discloses sending a notification upon completion of the funds transfer (Col 17, lines 44-63).

Claims 16, 17 and 46: Goldhaber discloses the method, system and computer program as in Claims 13 and 40 above, and further discloses the message is displayed to or interacted upon by the user. However, it is not explicitly disclosed that the user interaction includes printing or playing the message. The Examiner notes that the disclosed interacting with the message by the user would encompass all types of interactions to include viewing, clicking on, printing, playing, listening to, or downloading and storing the message. These are all well known types of input/output interactions between a user and information being displayed on the user's computer (e.g. Dedrick, Col 1, lines 30-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the user to print or play the message. One would have been motivated to include printing or playing the message as part of Goldhaber's interactions in order to provide a more memorable experience for the user; thus, increasing the likelihood



that the user will remember the message (advertisement) and, in case of message being in the form of a coupon as Goldhaber discloses, providing a hard copy of the coupon for the customary in-store redemption.

Claim 19: Goldhaber discloses the system as in Claim 13 above, and further discloses providing the bank id (and account number) of the receiver (user)(Col 16, line 13-17).

Claims 20 and 21: Goldhaber discloses the system as in Claim 13 above, and further discloses the computer program running on various user devices to include a personal computer. While it is not explicitly disclosed that the computer program is running on a printer, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the user device could include a printer, if the printer had the required computing capability, or if a computer has a built-in printing capability, such as the Wang™ word processors of the 1980's. The Examiner notes that the line between a computer with printing capabilities and a printer with computing capabilities is very fine and is quickly becoming non-existent with the emergence of multiple-use devices. Thus, Goldhaber's disclosure of various types of user devices would also encompass a printer with the necessary processing and memory capabilities or a computer with the necessary printing capabilities.

Claim 23: Goldhaber discloses the system as in Claim 22 above, and further discloses deleting the message if the value is too low (Col 18, lines 49-50 and Col 19, lines 4-18). Goldhaber discloses the system deactivating the advertisement once the user has accessed (and received payment for) it and also the user deleting the message when it is no longer desired. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the system to delete messages that did not meet the user's criteria from the list of messages selected for delivery to the user. One would have been motivated to delete the messages that did not meet the user's minimum value level, in order to present only those messages that meet all of the user's selection criteria.

Claims 27-29: Goldhaber discloses the system as in Claim 22 above, and further discloses that the value is monetary, a credit on a purchase, or a credit clip (i.e. coupon)(Col 11, lines 8-44 and Col 18, lines 13-33).

Claim 41: Goldhaber discloses the method as in Claim 40 above, and further discloses the message is an advertisement (Col 9, lines 62-67 and Col 15, lines 25-27).

Claim 44: Goldhaber discloses the method of Claim 40 above, and further discloses digitally signing the content package (Col 10, lines 9-38 and Col 16, lines 50-64).

***Response to Arguments***

11. Applicant's arguments filed on July 20, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- a. The applicant argues that neither Goldhaber et al. nor Dedrick teach a server distributing content to a receiver including a plurality of values that indicated different amounts based on a manner of rendering that the sender offers to credit a receiver as indicated in Claims 1 and 30. However, both Goldhaber and Dedrick disclose broad based advertising and targeted advertising and Dedrick specifically states that the target user profile may be associated with a pricing hierarchy for advertising information, such that a targeted end user receives a larger price credit than an end user that was not targeted (Col 5, lines 47-50. Additionally, Dedrick discloses multiple payment structures based upon rendering such as per view, per byte, and per time (Col 5, lines 11-25. Furthermore, Goldhaber also discloses nested pricing based upon user interaction (Col 7, lines 62-67).
- b. The applicant argues that neither Goldhaber et al. nor Dedrick disclose a rendering module configured to render content message if the value that the sender is willing to pay the receiver to render the content message meets or exceeds a payment value established in the rule module and if the bank request module verifies the funds are in the advertisers account as indicated in Claims 13, 40, 49 and 50. However, Goldhaber specifically

discloses users selecting a thumbnail image based upon a presented payment value. Selection of said thumbnail image results in an account-to-account transaction, which inherently includes verification of funds from the advertiser (Col 10, lines 39-66).

- c. The applicant argues that neither Goldhaber et al. nor Dedrick disclose a system including a rules module that contains a value the receiver requires from the sender for the receiver to print (display on a screen) the content message and prints (displays) the content message if the value offered is greater than or equal to the value required by the receiver as indicated in Claim 22. However, Goldhaber specifically states that the receiver makes the selection based upon price and interest (Col 10, lines 39-66). Therefore, the rules module in Goldhaber is the module that accepts display and do not display instructions from the receiver and then carries out these rules.

### ***Conclusion***

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Art Unit: 3622

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Van Bramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-8198. The examiner can normally be reached on 6am - 4pm Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jvb



ERIC W. STAMBER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600